27 May 1983

SUBJECT: Identification and Selection of Personnel (U)

Dr. Harold E. Puthoff SRI International 333 Ravenswood Avenue Eenlo Fark, California 94025

Dear Dr. Puthoff:

(U) References:

- a. Your memo, dated 7 June 1982, subject: Screening
- b. My letter, dated 27 April 1983, subject same as above.
- c. Your memo, dated 11 May 1983, subject: Selection/Training Task.
- (U) I have reviewed your June 1982 and May 1983 memos with the INSCOR Staff Psychologist who is my principal advisor on this project. Based upon this initial review, I would welcome an official proposal.
- (U) Information not reflected in your memo which needs to be addressed in your proposal include:
- a. (S/CL-1/NOFORN) I hase I: Who are "calibrated" remote viewers? How many are we talking about? What is an "extended Wechsler" test? Where will the testing take place? How long will the testing take? Who will perform the data analysis?
- b. (U) Phase 2: Why were the Wechsler, Meyers-Briggs and 16 PF chosen as the instruments? What is the outcome expectation? Where will the "second group of individuals" be drawn from?

CLASSIFIED BY: CG, INSCOMDECL: OADR



With mer than and

Approved For Release 2003/09/10 : CIA-RDP96-00792R000100140043-5

c. (U) How long to completion of the project? How long will each phase last? What percentage of contract funding will be assigned to each phase?

TO THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF T

- (U) Another question is the apparent lack of relationship of the screening approach described in the memo of June 1982 and your new approach as stated in the memo of May 1982?
- (U) To allow sufficient time for a review of your proposal and to meet administrative requirements for funding in FY 83, an expeditious response is requested.

ROBERT J. JACHIM LTC, HI Froject Manager

·